SOFTWARE & TECH

The Theory Of Differential Association

PUBLISHED
November 25, 2026

REFERENCE
#1795583253026368

Understanding the Theory of Differential Association: A Deep Dive into Learning Criminal Behavior

Why do people commit crimes? For decades, criminologists and sociologists have grappled with this fundamental question. While some theories point to psychological defects, biological predispositions, or economic desperation, one of the most influential explanations comes from a very different angle: the idea that criminal behavior is learned, just like any other behavior. This is the core premise of **the theory of differential association**, a cornerstone of sociological criminology developed by Edwin H. Sutherland in the mid-20th century. Far from suggesting that criminals are inherently different or "bad," this theory posits that criminality is a product of normal learning processes shaped by social interaction. In this article, we will explore the origins, key principles, critical components, and lasting legacy of this seminal theory, breaking down its nine propositions and examining how it explains crime in a world more connected—and more divided—than ever before.

What is the Theory of Differential Association?

First articulated by Edwin Sutherland in his 1939 textbook "Principles of Criminology," and later refined in 1947, **the theory of differential association**offers a systematic explanation for how individuals come to engage in criminal behavior. Sutherland was dissatisfied with existing theories that focused heavily on individual pathology or socio-economic factors like poverty, which he felt failed to explain the widespread nature of crime across all social strata. His central argument was simple yet profound: criminal behavior is learned through communication and interaction with others, primarily within intimate personal groups.

The term "differential association" itself is key. It refers to the idea that individuals are exposed to varying definitions—or messages—about the law. Some definitions are favorable to obeying the law, while others are favorable to violating it. A person becomes delinquent or criminal when their exposure to definitions favorable to law violation outweighs their exposure to definitions unfavorable to law violation. This is not a simple numbers game; it is about the frequency, duration, priority, and intensity of these interactions.

The Nine Propositions: The Backbone of the Theory

To provide a clear and testable framework, Sutherland outlined his theory in nine distinct propositions. Understanding each one is essential to grasping the full scope of **the theory of differential association**.

1. Criminal behavior is learned. This is the foundational premise. It is not inherited, nor is it a product of innate personality traits. It is acquired through a process of social learning.
2. Criminal behavior is learned in interaction with other persons in a process of communication. This learning happens through verbal and non-verbal communication within social groups. A person learns the techniques and the motives for crime from others.
3. The principal part of the learning of criminal behavior occurs within intimate personal groups. While

media or distant role models can have some influence, the most powerful learning occurs in close-knit groups like family, friends, and peers. This is where definitions are internalized most deeply.

4. When criminal behavior is learned, the learning includes (a) techniques of committing the crime, which are sometimes very simple, and (b) the specific direction of motives, drives, rationalizations, and attitudes. A person not only learns *how* to pick a lock or commit fraud but also learns *why* it is acceptable or necessary to do so.

5. The specific direction of motives and drives is learned from definitions of the legal codes as favorable or unfavorable. These "definitions" are the attitudes, justifications, and rationalizations people hold about the law. In some groups, "being smart" might mean getting away with something; in others, it means following the rules.

6. A person becomes delinquent because of an excess of definitions favorable to violation of law over definitions unfavorable to violation of law. This is the principle of differential association itself. It is not about total isolation from law-abiding influences but about the ratio of pro-criminal to anti-criminal definitions a person encounters.

7. Differential associations may vary in frequency, duration, priority, and intensity. These four modalities determine the weight of an association. Frequent and long-lasting interactions (duration and frequency) with a group are more influential. Associations formed early in life (priority), such as with parents, and those with high prestige or emotional closeness (intensity) also carry more weight.

8. The process of learning criminal behavior by association with criminal and anti-criminal patterns involves all of the mechanisms that are involved in any other learning. Sutherland argued that learning crime is not a special or different process. It uses the same mechanisms—imitation, reinforcement, association, and habituation—as learning to play a sport, speak a language, or become a doctor.

9. While criminal behavior is an expression of general needs and values, it is not explained by those needs and values, since non-criminal behavior is an expression of the same needs and values. This is a crucial point. Both a thief and a banker may be driven by the need for money or status. The difference lies not in the need itself, but in the learned methods and attitudes for satisfying it.

Key Principles and Core Concepts

Beyond the nine propositions, several core concepts are central to understanding **the theory of differential association**. These ideas help clarify how the theory translates into real-world behavior and why it remains a powerful tool for criminological analysis.

Definitions Favorable and Unfavorable to Law Violation

This is arguably the most critical concept. A "definition" is a learned attitude or rationalization that either condemns or justifies law-breaking. Definitions favorable to law violation include phrases like "everyone does it," "the system is rigged," "I deserve this more than they do," or "I'm just borrowing it." These definitions neutralize the moral bind of the law. On the other hand, definitions unfavorable to law violation include attitudes like "stealing is wrong," "honesty is the best policy," and "following the law is a civic duty." The ratio of these definitions dictates a person's likelihood of engaging in crime.

The Concept of Differential Social Organization

Sutherland also introduced the concept of differential social organization to explain why crime rates vary across communities. This is the group-level counterpart to the individual-level theory. It posits that communities are organized both for and against crime. A neighborhood with strong community organizations, effective policing, and widespread pro-social values has a high degree of organization against crime. Conversely, a community with weak institutions, high turnover, and a prevalence of criminal subcultures has a higher degree of organization *for* crime. Individuals in that community are more likely to be exposed to an excess of definitions favorable to law violation.

Learning Techniques and Motives

According to Sutherland, learning criminal behavior involves two main components: techniques and motives. Techniques can range from simple (like learning how to shoplift) to complex (like learning how to commit securities fraud). Motives involve learning the specific drives, rationalizations, and justifications for the behavior. This dual learning process is why the theory is so comprehensive—it accounts for both the "how" and the "why" of crime.

Applications and Real-World Examples

The theory of differential associationhas been applied to a wide variety of criminal behaviors, from juvenile delinquency to white-collar crime. Its strength lies in its ability to explain crime across different social classes and backgrounds.

Juvenile Delinquency and Peer Influence

One of the most common applications is explaining juvenile delinquency. Countless studies have shown that adolescents who associate with delinquent peers are significantly more likely to engage in delinquent acts themselves. This is not just about peer pressure in the moment; it is about a gradual learning process where definitions favorable to delinquency are absorbed. A teenager who spends a lot of time with a group that shoplifts and rationalizes it as "sticking it to the man" will, over time, come to see shoplifting as acceptable. The frequency, duration, priority, and intensity of these peer associations are critical.

White-Collar Crime

Sutherland himself was a pioneer in the study of white-collar crime, and he used his own theory to explain it. He argued that executives in large corporations learn to commit fraud, price-fixing, or embezzlement through their professional interactions. They are socialized into a corporate culture that defines law-breaking as "good business practice" or "necessary for survival." The definitions favorable to law violation are learned from mentors and colleagues within the intimate group of the corporate environment. This shows that the theory applies not just to street crime but to the boardroom as well.

Subcultures of Violence

The theory also helps explain the existence of subcultures of violence, such as those found in some street gangs or organized crime families. In these groups, violence is not seen as deviant but as a normal and even necessary response to certain situations. Young members learn the techniques of violence (how to fight or use a weapon) and the motives (to gain respect, defend honor, or enforce debts). The constant exposure to definitions favorable to violence creates an excess that leads to aggressive behavior.

Criticisms and Limitations of the Theory

While **the theory of differential association**has been enormously influential, it is not without its critics. Several key limitations have been identified over the decades.

- Difficulty in Empirical Testing: One of the most persistent criticisms is that the core concept of the "excess of definitions" is difficult to measure. How do you accurately quantify and compare the number and weight of pro- and anti-criminal definitions a person holds? This makes rigorous empirical testing challenging.
- Overemphasis on Learning: Critics argue that the theory downplays the role of individual agency and free will. People are not passive recipients of definitions; they can actively choose their associations and resist some messages. The theory can feel overly deterministic.
- Ignoring Individual Differences: The theory does not fully account for why two people in the same environment, with the same associations, might make different choices. Personality traits, temperament, and cognitive factors are largely left out of the equation.
- Circularity: Some critics point to a potential circularity in the argument. If we know a person has an excess of pro-criminal definitions because they commit crime, and we know they commit crime because they have an excess of pro-criminal definitions, the logic can become tautological.

- Neglect of Structural Factors: While Sutherland introduced differential social organization, the theory is often criticized for not adequately addressing larger structural forces like systemic inequality, racism, and poverty, which can shape the environments in which learning occurs.

Refinements and Modern Interpretations

Despite its criticisms, **the theory of differential association**has been refined and incorporated into more modern theories. Ronald Akers' Social Learning Theory is a direct descendant, which integrates Sutherland's concepts with behavioral psychology principles of reinforcement and punishment. This version adds a focus on the actual rewards and costs associated with criminal behavior, providing a more complete picture of the learning process. Differential association remains a vital part of the theoretical landscape, often used in combination with other perspectives.

The Relevance of Differential Association Today

In an era of digital communication, social media echo chambers, and fragmented communities, **the theory of differential association**remains remarkably relevant. The internet has created new "intimate personal groups" that are not limited by geography. Online forums, social media groups, and even comment sections can serve as environments where definitions favorable to law violation are shared and reinforced.

Consider the rise of online radicalization, whether for political extremism, participation in cybercrime, or involvement in fraud schemes. Individuals can find communities that normalize and justify these behaviors. A person who feels isolated and alienated may, through frequent and intense online interactions with a radical group, learn the techniques of hacking and develop motives and rationalizations that define their actions as righteous or necessary. The same principle applies to the spread of "cancel culture," doxxing, or even the normalizing of minor legal violations like pirating software. The digital age has amplified the mechanisms Sutherland described.

Furthermore, the concept of differential social organization helps us understand crime in communities impacted by deindustrialization, mass incarceration, and social disorganization. Where legitimate institutions weaken, criminal subcultures can become more organized and influential, passing down definitions favorable to crime from one generation to the next.

Conclusion

Edwin Sutherland's

Related Articles

- **Are James Blunt And Emily Blunt Related**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/are-james-blunt-and-emily-blunt-related.pdf
- **Was Remember Me Based On A True Story**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/was-remember-me-based-on-a-true-story.pdf
- **Ess Employee Self Service Costco**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/ess-employee-self-service-costco.pdf
- **The Case For Christianity By Cs Lewis**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/the-case-for-christianity-by-cs-lewis.pdf

TOPIC INDEX

#theory • #differential • #association

DIGITAL EDITION

the-theory-of-differential-association

DIGITAL EDITION

the-theory-of-differential-association

DIGITAL EDITION

the-theory-of-differential-association

DIGITAL EDITION

the-theory-of-differential-association