SOFTWARE & TECH

Petersons Stress Concentration Factors

PUBLISHED
November 25, 2026

REFERENCE
#1795581996797973

Introduction

Every mechanical engineer who has ever designed a component with a hole, a shoulder, a keyway, or a sudden change in cross section has encountered a fundamental truth of structural mechanics: stresses are never uniform. At the points where geometry changes abruptly, the local stress can be two, three, or even ten times higher than the nominal stress calculated by simple formulas. This localized elevation is called **stress concentration**, and the factor that quantifies it is the **stress concentration factor (Kt)**. For decades, the definitive guide to these factors has been the reference work known universally as *Peterson's Stress Concentration Factors*. But what exactly are these factors, where do they come from, and how should modern engineers use them?

In this article, we delve deep into the world of stress concentration, explore the legacy of Walter D. Peterson, and explain why **Petersons Stress Concentration Factors**remains an essential tool—even in an age of finite element analysis. Whether you are a student learning the basics of machine design, a practicing engineer performing hand calculations, or a veteran refining fatigue life predictions, understanding these factors is critical to creating safe, durable products. Let's start by defining the problem that Peterson's work solves.

What Are Stress Concentration Factors?

Definition and Physical Meaning

A **stress concentration factor**(often denoted as Kt for the theoretical elastic factor) is the ratio of the maximum local stress in a region of geometric discontinuity to the nominal stress based on net cross section. In formula terms:

Kt = <5öÖ , ò Ã_nominal

For example, consider a flat plate with a circular hole under tensile load. The nominal stress is simply the load divided by the net area (plate width minus hole diameter times thickness). However, because the material must flow around the hole, the stress at the edge of the hole can be three times higher than the nominal value. This factor of three is the Kt for that geometry. The value depends on the shape of the discontinuity, the relative dimensions, and the type of loading (tension, bending, torsion).

Why It Matters in Design

Stress concentration does not affect static strength in ductile materials significantly—yielding tends to redistribute the load. However, for brittle materials under static loads, and for all materials under cyclic loads (fatigue), the local peak stress controls crack initiation and failure. Ignoring Kt can lead to premature failure, especially in components like shafts, gears, pressure vessels, and bolted joints. This is why **Peterson's Stress Concentration Factors**have been the go-to reference for more than half a century: they provide quick, reliable values for hundreds of standard geometries without requiring complex analysis.

The Legacy of Walter D. Peterson

The name behind the reference is Walter D. Peterson, a mechanical engineer who recognized the need for a systematic compilation of stress concentration data. In the 1950s, he began gathering results from photoelastic experiments, analytical solutions, and early computational studies. The first edition of his book, originally titled *Stress Concentration Factors*, appeared in 1953 and quickly became a standard reference. Later editions, co-authored and continued by others, expanded the collection to include more geometries, more loading cases, and more precise charts. Today, the commonly cited fourth edition is edited by Walter D. Pilkey and Deborah F. Pilkey, but the title **Petersons Stress Concentration Factors**remains synonymous with the field. The book contains not only Kt charts but also design guidelines, notch sensitivity curves, and corrections for plasticity and finite width effects.

How Peterson’s Stress Concentration Factors Are Used

Common Geometries and Notch Types

The heart of the reference is the extensive collection of charts. Each chart corresponds to a specific geometric configuration. The most common ones include:

- Circular holes in infinite and finite plates under tension, bending, or in-plane shear.
- U-shaped and V-shaped notches in bars and beams—often found in shafts with shoulders or grooves.
- Fillets in stepped shafts or shoulders—the transition radius between two diameters.
- Keyways and splines for power transmission shafts.
- Thread roots and other fastener features.
- Grooves and ridges under axial or torsional loading.

For each geometry, the charts plot Kt as a function of dimensionless ratios (such as r/d, a/b, or d/D). An engineer measures the relevant dimensions from the part, calculates the ratios, reads the corresponding Kt from the chart, and multiplies that factor by the nominal stress. This process is straightforward and fast, making it ideal for initial sizing and quick checks.

Application in Fatigue Analysis

While static strength can often tolerate stress concentrations, fatigue life is drastically reduced by them. In fatigue design, the engineer must account for the fact that the material may not be 100% sensitive to the notch. This is where the concept of **notch sensitivity**(q) comes in. Peterson’s book also provides fatigue notch factors (Kf) and guidelines for estimating q based on material strength and notch radius. The relationship is:

Kf = 1 + q (Kt – 1)

Thus, by using the Kt from the charts and the appropriate q value, the engineer can calculate a realistic effective stress for fatigue. Many modern standards for shaft design (e.g., ASME B106.1M, ANSI/AGMA) still reference **Petersons Stress Concentration Factors**directly or indirectly. Without these charts, the engineer would need to run a 2D or 3D finite element analysis for every potential geometry—time-consuming and often unnecessary during the conceptual phase.

Using the Charts and Formulas

To read a typical Peterson chart, you first identify the geometry that matches your design as closely as possible. For example, a stepped shaft with a fillet under bending has its own chart. The axes are usually the dimensionless ratios. The horizontal axis might be the fillet radius to shaft diameter (r/d), and the vertical axis is Kt. Multiple curves on the same chart represent different ratios of larger diameter to smaller diameter (D/d). The engineer locates their specific ratios, reads the Kt value, and records it. If the chart includes both tension and

bending cases, the engineer selects the correct curve. For combined loading, superposition is not directly valid because the stress fields interact, but Peterson's often provides separate factors for each load type that can be applied to the respective nominal stresses.

One must be careful with the definition of nominal stress. Some charts use net cross section (the smaller area at the notch), while others use gross cross section. The book clearly states which is used. Using the wrong nominal stress leads to a factor of error. Additionally, the Kt values from the charts assume elastic behavior, perfectly smooth surfaces, and isotropic, homogeneous material. For real-world parts, corrections may be needed for surface finish, size effects, and temperature.

Limitations and Modern Alternatives

No resource is perfect. **Petersons Stress Concentration Factors**was compiled before the widespread use of finite element analysis (FEA). Therefore, the charts cover only a limited set of idealized geometries. Real parts often have multiple interacting notches, complex load paths, or asymmetric shapes that do not appear in the book. In those cases, FEA is the appropriate tool. Moreover, the charts are based on two-dimensional elasticity solutions. Three-dimensional effects, such as those in thick plates or near corners, may introduce additional stress concentrations not captured by the 2D charts. Researchers have extended Peterson's work with three-dimensional Kt factors, but these are not included in the classic book.

Another limitation is the assumption of linear elastic material. If local yielding occurs, stress redistribution reduces the effective concentration. For ductile materials under static loads, some engineers ignore Kt altogether, relying on the material's ability to redistribute load. However, for fatigue assessments, even small plastic strains can initiate cracks, so the elastic Kt is still meaningful. Lastly, the charts are static—they don't account for dynamic effects such as impact or vibration, which can create additional stress amplification.

Modern alternatives include computerized databases (e.g., the NASA Stress Concentration Factor database), commercial FEA packages that can compute Kt directly, and newer reference works that update Peterson's charts with more comprehensive data. Yet despite these advances, the simplicity and pedagogical value of the original work remain unmatched. Many universities still teach the use of Peterson's charts before moving to FEA, because it builds an intuitive understanding of how geometry affects stress.

Best Practices for Engineers

To get the most out of **Peterson's Stress Concentration Factors**, follow these guidelines:

1. Identify the exact geometry. Even a small difference, such as a flat-bottom groove versus a rounded groove, can change Kt significantly. Use the chart that best matches your real part.
2. Check the load type. Tension, bending, torsion, and combined loads each have different Kt values even for the same notch. Do not assume one value fits all.
3. Apply corrections. For fatigue, use notch sensitivity to convert Kt to Kf. For finite width or thickness, use the correction factors provided in the book (often a separate chart).
4. Use as a screening tool. Hand-calculate Kt to identify the most critical location in a design before running FEA. This saves time and helps you validate the FEA results.
5. Document your sources. When reporting stress analyses, cite the specific chart number and edition of Peterson's you used. This adds credibility and traceability.
6. Remember the assumptions. The charts are for elastic, isotropic, homogeneous materials. For composites, additively manufactured parts, or welded joints, the Kt values are not directly applicable.

Conclusion

Peterson's Stress Concentration Factorsis far more than a historical artifact. It remains a practical, fast, and educationally valuable tool for engineers who need to account for geometric stress raisers. While today's digital

tools can compute Kt with high precision, the charts give engineers an immediate, intuitive grasp of how changes in shape affect stress—a skill that is essential for good design. Whether you are double-checking an FEA result, sketching a preliminary shaft layout, or analyzing a failed component in the field, the concepts and data compiled by Walter D. Peterson continue to guide the profession. By understanding and correctly applying these factors, you can design components that are both lightweight and durable, reducing the risk of costly failures. Use them wisely, supplement them with modern analysis when needed, and never underestimate the importance of a well-placed fillet radius. The legacy of Peterson lives on in every safe, successful machine.

Related Articles

- **Are James Blunt And Emily Blunt Related**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/are-james-blunt-and-emily-blunt-related.pdf
- **Was Remember Me Based On A True Story**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/was-remember-me-based-on-a-true-story.pdf
- **Ess Employee Self Service Costco**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/ess-employee-self-service-costco.pdf
- **The Case For Christianity By Cs Lewis**
  URL: https://signage.openstack.org/the-case-for-christianity-by-cs-lewis.pdf

TOPIC INDEX

#petersons • #stress • #concentration • #factors

DIGITAL EDITION

petersons-stress-concentration-factors

DIGITAL EDITION

petersons-stress-concentration-factors

DIGITAL EDITION

petersons-stress-concentration-factors

DIGITAL EDITION

petersons-stress-concentration-factors